

УДК 339.562:64.033(477)

ПЕРСПЕКТИВИ ІМПОРТОЗАМІЩЕННЯ В УКРАЇНІ

Мельник Т., Пугачевская Е. Перспективы импортозамещения в Украине.

5.3 %
8.4 %, – 121.2 %,
2014 . 11.3 %, – 27.6 %,
, – 89.4 % [1].

„

1950-

[8, .261].

[8, .269].

... " [11],

[10, с. 23].

... " ..." [12, с. 6].

... [3, с. 8].

(C_i),

$$C_i = \frac{Pd_i}{(Pd_i + Lm_i + Ex_i + Sc_i + Ui_i)}, \quad (1)$$

- Pd_i – ;
- Lm_i – i - ;
- Ex_i – ;
- Sc_i – ;
- Ui_i –

, , ,
.
,
,
().

2013 . 4- 2006-
, ,
, ,
, (- ,).
-
,
(, , ,
; ,)
; (. I).
, 2006-2013 .,
, ,
, ,
, 2012-2013 .
, , -
, , -
, . ()
,
2013 . -
, ,
.

2006–2013 .

		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	203	0.77	0.77	0.49	0.49	0.61	0.74	0.52	0.61
	204	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.95	0.89
	207	0.73	0.81	0.73	0.81	0.87	0.99	0.96	1.09
	403	0.95	0.94	0.96	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.98
	405	1.14	1.03	1.04	0.83	0.94	0.96	0.91	0.90
	1701	1.00	0.99	0.96	0.93	0.85	0.91	1.09	1.11
	1902	0.95	0.94	0.96	1.02	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.94
	1517	0.98	0.93	0.96	1.02	1.08	1.09	1.04	1.05
	1601	0.96	0.98	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00
	1602	0.89	0.71	0.70	0.75	0.67	0.56	0.48	0.49
	2007	0.85	0.82	0.87	0.99	0.91	0.87	0.94	0.93
	2201	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.96	0.95	0.94
	2204	0.96	0.95	0.95	3.02	1.04	0.71	1.10	1.39
	2205	1.00	0.96	0.85	0.96	0.89	0.88	0.83	0.75
	5208–5212	0.56	0.75	0.18	0.20	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.14
	5111–5112	0.54	0.56	0.48	0.35	0.45	0.44	0.52	0.38
	5309	0.21	0.20	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.18
	5801–5802	0.34	0.63	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.23	0.33
	5407–5408	0.15	0.20	0.13	0.26	0.22	0.42	0.25	0.17

		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	5702-5705	0.23	0.33	0.24	0.35	0.35	0.42	0.49	0.48
	6001-6005	0.23	0.23	0.16	0.21	0.23	0.34	0.19	0.35
	6101-6102	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.97	0.97	1.02	0.97	0.98
	6107-6108; 6207-6208	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.30	0.28	0.60
-	6115	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.38	0.49	0.73
,	6110	0.21	0.16	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.07	0.08
	6101	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.97	0.96	1.03	1.04	1.02
	6102	0.89	0.96	0.93	0.91	0.88	1.01	0.72	0.80
	6401-6405	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.26	0.19	0.43	0.31	0.33
	3204	0.55	0.67	0.69	0.75	0.63	0.71	0.73	0.76
	3104	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.74	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02
	2901	1.76	1.85	1.31	0.79	1.79	0.71	0.52	0.14
	3209	0.74	0.76	0.79	0.84	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.86
i , i i i	3210	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
-	3401	0.86	0.77	0.66	0.75	0.76	0.81	0.79	0.80
-	3402	0.57	0.54	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.63	0.70	0.72

: ;
 [1], (1) ;

50 %

80–90 %.

()

$$NEP_i = \frac{Pd_i}{L_{pd}} 100 - [Cd_i + Ui_i + Sc_i], \quad (2)$$

NEP_i –

Pd_i –

L_{pd} –

Cd_i –

Ui_i –

Sc_i –

NEP

(CL_i^{imp}) ,

$$CL_i^{imp} = \frac{Lm_i}{(Cd_i + Sc_i + Ui_i)}, \quad (3)$$

CL_i^{imp} –

$$\begin{cases} NEP_i \leq 0; BL_i^{imp} = 0, \\ 0 < NEP_i < [Cd_i + Ui_i + Sc_i]; BL_i^{imp} = \frac{NEP_i}{Cd_i + Ui_i + Sc_i}, \\ NEP_i \geq [Cd_i + Ui_i + Sc_i]; BL_i^{imp} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

BL_i^{imp} –

$$AP_i^{es} = \frac{BL_i^{imp}}{CP_i^{imp}}, \quad (5)$$

AP_i^{es} –

, %;

CP_i^{imp} –

()

(. 2)

56.7 %.

2012 .

(60.3 % 2012 .).

(. 3).

	1701	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.51	0.78	0.88	83.2	42.3	72.3	79.4
	1517	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.81	0.81	0.70	0.79	64.1	56.0	46.2	52.1
i i ,	1601	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.65	0.64	0.70	75.0	64.1	63.4	69.9
,	1602	0.44	0.52	0.68	0.61	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.1	-48.4	-59.3	-55.2
, , ,	2007	0.18	0.18	0.10	0.11	0.57	0.45	0.53	0.58	38.1	26.3	42.0	46.0
	2201	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.85	0.61	0.56	0.60	81.0	56.2	50.3	53.2
	2204	0.06	0.88	0.29	0.44	0.78	0.18	0.81	1.00	70.1	-44.0	37.2	50.1
	2205	0.00	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.85	0.46	0.36	0.28	85.1	32.3	15.1	1.0
, , ,													
:													
	5208-5212	0.52	0.89	0.86	0.88	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-39.0	-88.2	-85.1	-86.0
	5111-5112	0.66	0.66	0.56	0.74	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	-50.0	-60.5	-52.3	-66.2

						*				(), %**			
		2006	2011	2012	2013	2006	2011	2012	2013	2006	2011	2012	2013
	5309	0.81	0.86	0.87	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-80.2	-85.1	-85.3	-82.4
-	5801-5802	0.68	0.90	0.77	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-67.1	-89.3	-77.0	-67.0
	5407-5408	0.86	0.61	0.76	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-85.2	-59.4	-75.0	-84.1
	5702-5705	0.89	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-79.1	-63.0	-60.3	-61.1
	6001-6005	0.82	0.75	0.87	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-78.1	-69.0	-82.3	-69.2
	6101-6102	0.03	0.16	0.26	0.09	0.42	0.48	0.39	0.41	38.1	27.2	11.1	31.9
	6107-6108; 6207-6208	0.95	0.79	0.92	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-92.1	-73.0	-77.2	-58.3
-	6115	0.93	0.76	0.69	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-90.1	-67.2	-58.3	-36.5
,	6110	1.06	1.21	1.12	1.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-83.5	-89.7	-94.3	-94.4
	6101	0.04	0.05	0.22	0.10	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00	89.2	91.4	67.7	82.5
	6102	0.14	0.97	0.93	0.26	0.76	1.00	0.42	0.58	60.4	2.8	-31.3	31.2
	6401-6405	0.93	0.77	0.79	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-87.3	-64.0	-72.2	-72.5
	3204	0.49	0.30	0.28	0.25	0.00	0.19	0.08	0.22	-47.3	-11.2	-20.0	-3.4
,	3104	1.02	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-98.3	-98.3	-96.7	-98.5
	2901	0.18	0.59	0.64	0.86	1.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	79.5	-31.5	-55.2	-86.4
,	3209	0.27	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.40	0.25	0.39	-13.4	22.3	7.5	22.4
i , i i i	3210	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.53	0.65	0.45	0.59	53.3	64.0	44.5	58.3
-	3401	0.39	0.59	0.60	0.61	0.32	0.35	0.15	0.29	-6.4	-17.3	-32.0	-22.2
-	3402	0.51	0.45	0.41	0.42	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.16	-47.2	-37.1	-34.0	-23.3

*

0 1.

**

[1],

(2)

(2012 .), %:	14.0	69.5	47.4
	25.3	14.9	96.4
	74.2	85	2.1
, %	12.8	51.3	60.4
, %:			
2011	2.9	6.7	28
2012	1	-6.6	-3.8
2013	-3.9	-5.8	-16.9

:

[1; 3; 6]

[13, с. 162–163].

- , (,) ; -
- , ; -
- ; -
- ; -
- ; -

" [14, с. 22].

... , -
... , -
... , -
... (-), -
... () ,
... , -
... , -
... , -
... (0.5). -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... , -
... (,). -
... , -
... , -
... (-), -
... , -
... , -
... 1 % , -
... (-) , -
... - 28 % , - 15 % , - 12 %) ,

... , ...

" ... " , ...

()

... , ...

... GMP ...

СПИСОК ВИКОРИСТАНИХ ДЖЕРЕЛ

1. []. — : <http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua>.
2. / . . . , 2011. — 2. — . 128–147.
3. , 2012. — 71 .
4. // . . . — 2012. — 6. — . 5–16.
5. / " " . — 2011. — 15–16. — . 122–125.
6. : [.]; . . . , 2009. — 640 .
7. / . . . [.]; . . . / ; . . . — . . . , 2011. — 696 .
8. *Prebisch R.* Commercial Policy in the Underdeveloped Countries / R. Prebisch // *American Economic Review*. — 1959. — Vol. 49. — P. 251–273.

9. . . . : 08.00.05 / — ., 2004. — 209 .
10. . . . : 08.00.05 / — , 2011. — 212 .
11. *List F. The National System of Political Economy / F. List. — Economics. — 1856. — 762 p.*
12. . . . / . . . // . . . — 2004. — 1. — . 3–17.
13. . . . / . . . —, 1997. — . 458.
14. *Helleiner J. K. Markets, Politics and Globalization. Can the Global Economy be Civilized? / J. K. Helleiner // 10th Raul Prebisch Lecture. — Geneva. — 2000. — P. 18–47.*

26.01.2015.

Melnyk T., Pugachevska K. Import substitution perspectives in Ukraine.

Background. *The proposed article is devoted to the issue of import substitution perspectives for commodity items. Consideration of the problem is based on the analysis of import consumption and resources possibilities of import substitution in the Ukrainian economy.*

Review of the latest researches in this area indicates that scientists conduct discussions on the potential consequences of import substitution on economic development. In a small number among the existing works the impact of macroeconomic processes on import substitution development and mechanism of regional import substitution development are investigated. However, in the majority of the works the import substitution vectors are researched only from the point of resources possibilities; besides the theoretical statements are not followed by calculations, thus there is a need to explore it.

Therefore the **aim** of the article is evaluation of import substitution perspectives for particular types of economic activity.

Material and methods. *The following methods were used in the scientific article: axiomatic, analysis and synthesis, economic-statistic analysis.*

Results. *Scientific literature on the subject of the interpretation of the term "import substitution" has been analyzed. Methodology of import substitution possibilities evaluation in food, textile and chemical industries based on equations and identities of National Accounts System has been proposed. The recommendations for import substitution perspectives in commodity items are given.*

Conclusion. *Thus, it may be noted that in the face of deteriorating macroeconomic indicators and significant devaluation of the national currency import substitution can become a way for satiety of domestic market based on the implementation of instruments and mechanisms of regulation that would allow to restrain import growth and improve its structure, while focusing on strategic priorities and objectives of socio-economic development of Ukraine. The practical significance of the obtained results is the possibility of using the proposed articles of theoretical and practical developments for improving the regulation mechanism of import in Ukraine.*

Keywords: import substitution, import dependence, protectionism, economic safety, import substitution potential, current and barrier levels of substitution.

REFERENCES

1. *Derzhavna sluzhba statystryky Ukrainy* [Elektronnyj resurs]. — Rezhym dostupu : <http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua>.
2. *Dunajev I. V.* Importozamishhennja: derzhavna polityka i proces vyboru dlja Ukrainy na etapi podolannja naslidkiv ekonomichnoi' kryzy / I. V. Dunajev, V. Ju. Babajev : zb. nauk. pr. In-tu ekonomiky promyslovosti NAN Ukrainy. — 2011. — 2. — Ch. 2. — S. 128–147.
3. *Priorytety polityky importozamishhennja u strategii' modernizacii' promyslovosti Ukrainy.* — K. : NISD, 2012. — 71 s.
4. *Mazaraki A. A.* Import ta perspektyvy importozamishhennja v Ukraini / A. A. Mazaraki, T. M. Mel'nyk // *Visn. Kyi'v. nac. torg.-ekon. un-tu.* — 2012. — 6. — S. 5–16.
5. *Pazizina S. M.* Konceptual'ni zasady polityky importozamishhennja / S. M. Pazizina : zb. nauk. pr. molodyh vchenyh Ternopil'. nac. ekon. un-tu "Nauka moloda". — 2011. — 15–16. — S. 122–125.
6. *Rynky real'nogo sektora ekonomiky Ukrainy: strukturno-instytucional'nyj analiz* / V. O. Tochylin, T. O. Ostashko, O. V. Pustovojt [ta in.] ; za red. V. O. Tochylina. — K., 2009. — 640 s.
7. *Strukturni zminy ta ekonomichnyj rozvytok Ukrainy* / V. M. Gejec', L. V. Shynkaruk, T. I. Art'omova [ta in.] ; za red L. V. Shynkaruka / NAN Ukrainy ; In-t ekon. ta prognozuv. — K., 2011. — 696 s.
8. *Prebisch R.* Commercial Policy in the Underdeveloped Countries / R. Prebisch // *American Economic Review.* — 1959. — Vol. 49. — P. 251–273.
9. *Ismagilova L. R.* Razvitie jeksportoorientirovannyh i importozameshhajushhih proizvodstv kak faktor integracii regiona v sistemu mirohozjajstvennyh svjazej : dis. ... kand. jekon. nauk : 08.00.05 / L. R. Ismagilova. — M., 2004. — 209 s.
10. *Komilov A. F.* Osobennosti formirovaniya politiki importozameshhenija v promyshlenosti Respubliki Tadjikistan : dis. ... kand. jekon. nauk : 08.00.05 / A. F. Komilov. — Dushanbe, 2011. — 212 s.
11. *List F.* The National System of Political Economy / F. List. — *Economics.* — 1856. — 762 p.
12. *Jel'janov A.* Globalizacija i dogonjajushhee razvitie / A. Jel'janov // *Mezhdunar. jekonomika i mezhdunar. otnoshenija.* — 2004. — 1. — S. 3–17.
13. *Torado M.* Jekonomicheskoe razvitie / M. Torado. — *Jekon. fakul'tet MGU.* — M. : JuNITI, 1997. — S. 458.
14. *Helleiner J. K.* Markets, Politics and Globalization. Can the Global Economy be Civilized? / J. K. Helleiner // *10th Raul Prebisch Lecture.* — Geneva. — 2000. — P. 18–47.